

UNIVERSAL CASE OPINION COVER SHEET
U.S. District Court for the Central District of Illinois
Springfield Division

Complete TITLE of Case	COLLEEN BAGLEY, et al., Plaintiffs, v. ROD BLAGOJEVICH, et al., Defendants.	
Type of Document	Opinion	
Docket Number	No. 05-3156	
Court	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	
Opinion Filed	Date: May 10, 2007	
JUDGE	Honorable Richard Mills U.S. District Judge 117 U.S. Courthouse Springfield, IL 62701 (217)492-4340	
ATTORNEYS For Plaintiffs	John A. Baker John Edward Kerley	Baker, Baker & Krajewski 415 S. 7 th St. Springfield, IL 62701 Kerley & Associates 2131 W. White Oaks Dr., #B-2 Springfield, IL 62704
ATTORNEYS For Defendants	Joseph M. Gagliardo Lawrence J. Weiner Heather RM Becker Jeffrey S. Fowler	Laner, Muchin, Dombrow, Becker, Levin & Tominberg 515 N. State St., Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60610

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
SPRINGFIELD DIVISION

COLLEEN BAGLEY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	05-3156
)	
ROD BLAGOJEVICH, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

OPINION

RICHARD MILLS, U.S. District Judge:

The Court now considers Defendant Governor Rod Blagojevich's objections to United States Magistrate Judge Byron G. Cudmore's March 28, 2007, Opinion (d/e 49)¹. That Opinion allows the Plaintiffs to depose Governor Blagojevich.

¹ Defendants Julie Curry, Roger Walker, Jr., James Underwood and Justin Smock also object to Governor Blagojevich being deposed. These Defendants will not suffer any injury in fact if Governor Blagojevich is deposed. Thus, they have no standing to object to an order requiring the Governor's deposition. *See Steel Co. v. Citizens For a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 103-04, 118 S.Ct. 1003, 140 L.Ed.2d 210 (1998) (to satisfy Article III's case-or-controversy requirement, an entity must show "injury in fact, causation, and redressability").

Governor Blagojevich alleges that the March 28 Opinion erroneously concluded that the Plaintiffs may depose him. He timely appealed on April 10, 2007.

The Court has reviewed the March 28 Opinion. It has considered the Governor's objections and the Plaintiffs' response thereto. Having done so, the Court finds that the March 28 Opinion correctly states the law and properly concludes that the Plaintiffs may depose the Governor.

ERGO, the Court ADOPTS Judge Cudmore's March 28, 2007, Opinion in its entirety and DENIES the Defendants' Objections to Magistrate Judge's Order Regarding Propriety of Taking the Deposition of the Governor (d/e 52).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER: May 10, 2007

FOR THE COURT:

s/ Richard Mills
United States District Judge